UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE ,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,645	06/05/2006	Coen Liedenbaum	FR040132	5451	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 01/30/2008 ELLECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	CHANG, A	CHANG, AUDREY Y		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2872		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				Z
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	· ·
	10/581,645		LIEDENBAUM, C	OEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Audrey Y. Ch	nang	2872	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence ac	Idress
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim  copine SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status		•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Not</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non	-final. r formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from cons	,		
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b)  drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been on the state of the	received. received in Applicati s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	Stage
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/7/2007	4 5 6	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal F Other:	ate	:

Application/Control Number:

10/581,645 Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remark

- This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 26, 2007, which
  has been entered into the file.
- By this amendment, the applicant has amended claim 1.
- Claims 1-2 remain pending in this application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PCT publication by Coene (WO 03/034596 A1) in view of the patent issued to Woods et al (PN. 7,116,626).

Coene discloses a holographic system for recording and reading out holographic data in the form of pages in a holographic medium (Fig. 14), wherein in one embodiment the detector comprises elements, which are positioned in a staggered fashion, and more specifically in a quasi-hexagonal shape (Fig. 15B, line 25, p. 13 to line 14, p. 14). It is noted that Coene specifically defines "Vfsq", or "Vfhex", as the "arrangement of detector elements in the image plane", see lines 27-28, p. 13.

Claim 1 has been amended to include the phrase that the each data page of the holographic data has a staggered structure of data bits and the staggered structure of the detection elements matching said staggered structure of the data bits. Coene teaches that the data bits of the holographic data page can be arranged to have staggered structure (please see Figures 1B, 2A-2C) to increase the packing density of the data bits. This reference however does not teach explicitly that the data bits and the detection elements

are matching each other. However the so-called "pixel matching", which means an one-to-one matching between the holographic data bits and the detector elements are well known in the holographic data storage art as taught by **Woods** et al to reduce bits decoded in error in relation to total bits of the data page, i.e. to obtain low bit error rate, (BER, please see column 1, lines 51-67). It would then have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the teachings of **Woods** et al to modify the pixellated detector elements and the holographic data bits arrangement of **Coene** to make it in "pixel matching" arrangement to achieve lot bit error rate in reading the holographic bits data information.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments are mainly drawn to newly amended features in the claims and are fully addressed in the reasons for rejection stated above.

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10/581,645

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-2309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephone B. Allen can be reached on 571-272-2434. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer
Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR
CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Audrey Y. Chang, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

A. Chang, Ph.D.